

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. · FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/659,133 09/10/2003 Per Hammarlund Intel 2207/618602 4796 EXAMINER 25693 08/10/2004 KENYON & KENYON (SAN JOSE) CHEN, TE Y 333 WEST SAN CARLOS ST. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 600** SAN JOSE, CA 95110 2171 DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. Applie	cant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/659,133	10/659,133 HAMMARLU	
		Examiner	Art Ur	nit
		Susan Y Chen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHI THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA resions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hor cation. lays, a reply within the statutory mory period will apply and will expir. I, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed iinimum of thirty (30) days will be c e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).
Status				
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2003. This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Applicati	on Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
		BEST	AVAILABLE C	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/10/2003</u> .	4) \(\sum_{0.948} \) (O/SB/08) 5) \(\sum_{0.948} \)	Interview Summary (PTO-41 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Ap	13)

Application/Control Number: 10/659,133

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed on 09/10/2003. Claims 1-13 and 18-20 are pending for examination.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,133

Art Unit: 2171

Claims 1-13 and 18-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,662,173. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claims 1-13 and 18-20 of the present application merely repeat the features of claims 1-9 of US Patent No. 6,662,173 with fewer limitations.

However, it is obvious for an ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to remove limitations from a claim for the purpose to extend a more broader coverage of his/hers invention.

A telephone interview has been conducted between the examiner and applicant's attorney – Stephen T. Neal. The attorney agrees to file a terminal disclaimer as requested by the examiner to resolve the above double patenting issue.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: U.S. Patent No. 5,822,767 issued to MacWilliams et al., which discloses a memory bus signal extension system being shared between agents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Y Chen whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/659,133

Art Unit: 2171

(703) 308-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (703) 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan Y Chen Examiner Art Unit 2171

August 4, 2004

UYEN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER